

Real Analysis: Exam III

David Joseph Stith

1. Problem. For a function $f : A \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$, fully explain the similarities and differences of the following statements:

- (i) The limit of f at c is L . Both L and c are real numbers.
- (ii) f is continuous at c . c is a real number.

We will consider the conditions under which the truth or falsity of statements (i) and (ii) correspond or differ for a given function $f : A \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ and a given real number c according to the following two main cases:

- (a) when c is a cluster point of A , and
- (b) when c is not a cluster point of A .

When c is a cluster point of A , meaning for every $\delta > 0$ there exists at least one point $x \in A, x \neq c$ within the δ -neighborhood of c , then the limit of f at c may or may not exist. If the limit does not exist, i.e. when statement (i) is false, then statement (ii) is false as well since continuity at c would absurdly imply that $\lim_{x \rightarrow c} f(x) = f(c)$. Otherwise, if the limit of f at c does exist, so that statement (i) is true, then statement (ii) becomes logically equivalent to the statement $f(c) = \lim_{x \rightarrow c} f(x)$. To show that this statement is equivalent to statement (ii), we will show that each implies the other. If $f(c) = \lim_{x \rightarrow c} f(x)$ then there exists a δ such that if x is in the δ -neighborhood of c but $x \neq c$ then $|f(x) - f(c)| < \epsilon$ for any $\epsilon > 0$. The definition of continuity also requires, when $c \in A$, that $|f(x) - f(c)| < \epsilon$ if $x = c$, but this is trivially satisfied in this case by $|f(c) - f(c)| = 0$. Conversely, if f is continuous at c then there exists a δ such that if x is in the δ -neighborhood of c then $|f(x) - f(c)| < \epsilon$ for any $\epsilon > 0$ and hence $\lim_{x \rightarrow c} f(x) = f(c)$. Therefore if statement (i) is true, then statement (ii) is logically equivalent to the statement $f(c) = \lim_{x \rightarrow c} f(x)$.

On the other hand, when c is not a cluster point of A , then the limit of f at c by definition cannot exist. Hence in this case statement (i) is false, but statement (ii) becomes logically equivalent to the statement that f is defined at c . That f may be defined at c and yet c not be a cluster point of A follows when given a sufficiently small $\delta > 0$ there does not exist an $x \in A$ other than $x = c$ within the δ -neighborhood of c . But the existence of precisely this δ guarantees that only at $x = c$ must we have $|f(x) - f(c)| < \epsilon$ for arbitrary $\epsilon > 0$ in order to establish continuity. Hence, since $|f(c) - f(c)| = 0 < \epsilon$, continuity follows automatically. Conversely, if f is not defined at c then $|f(x) - f(c)|$ is not defined. Hence we have continuity at c if and only if f is defined at c .

The foregoing is summarized by the following table:

c is a cluster point of A	$\lim_{x \rightarrow c} f(x)$ exists	f is continuous at c
True	False	False
True	True	iff $f(c) = \lim_{x \rightarrow c} f(x)$
False	False	iff f is defined at c

3. Problem. Provide an example that illustrates that we can claim a function f , $f : A \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$, is continuous at a real number c but the function does not have a limit at c .

Let $f : A \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ where $A = \{c\}$. We need to show that both of the following are true:

- (i) f is continuous at c
- (ii) $\lim_{x \rightarrow c} f(x)$ does not exist.

We proceed as follows.

- (i) To show that f is continuous at c , we show that for any $\epsilon > 0$, $\exists \delta > 0$ such that for all $x \in A$ satisfying $|x - c| < \delta$, $|f(x) - f(c)| < \epsilon$. Suppose $\epsilon > 0$. Then for any $\delta > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} x \in A &\implies x = c \\ &\implies |x - c| = 0 < \delta \\ &\implies |f(x) - f(c)| = |f(c) - f(c)| = 0 < \epsilon \end{aligned}$$

Therefore any $\delta > 0$ satisfies the conditions for any $\epsilon > 0$. Therefore f is continuous at c .

- (ii) To show that $\lim_{x \rightarrow c} f(x)$ does not exist, we show that c is not a cluster point of A . Then it follows by definition that $\lim_{x \rightarrow c} f(x)$ cannot exist. To show that c is not a cluster point of A , it is sufficient to show that there does not exist a point $x \in A$ such that $x \neq c$. But since c is the only element of A , $x \in A \implies x = c$ so that there does not exist a point $x \in A$ such that $x \neq c$. Therefore c is not a cluster point of A . Therefore $\lim_{x \rightarrow c} f(x)$ does not exist.

Therefore $f : A \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ where $A = \{c\}$ is an example of a function that is continuous at a real number c but that does not have a limit at c . **Q.E.D.**

4. Problem. Prove, using the definition, that $\lim_{x \rightarrow 0} \frac{x+1}{x^2+2} = \frac{1}{2}$.

Let $f : \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ be defined by $f(x) = \frac{x+1}{x^2+2}$. We need to show that $\lim_{x \rightarrow 0} f(x) = 1/2$. To do this, we will show that both of the following are true:

- (i) 0 is a cluster point of \mathbf{R} .
- (ii) $\forall \epsilon > 0, \exists \delta > 0$ such that if $0 < |x| < \delta$ then $|f(x) - 1/2| < \epsilon$.

We thus proceed:

- (i) It is an immediate consequence of the Density Theorem that 0 is a cluster point of \mathbf{R} .
- (ii) It remains to show that $\forall \epsilon > 0, \exists \delta > 0$ such that $0 < |x| < \delta \implies |f(x) - 1/2| < \epsilon$.

First note that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \left| f(x) - \frac{1}{2} \right| &= \left| \frac{x+1}{x^2+2} - \frac{1}{2} \right| \\
 &= \left| \frac{2(x+1) - (x^2+2)}{2(x^2+2)} \right| \\
 &= \left| \frac{2x+2-x^2-2}{2(x^2+2)} \right| \\
 &= \left| \frac{x(2-x)}{2(x^2+2)} \right| \\
 &= |x| \cdot \left| \frac{2-x}{2(x^2+2)} \right|
 \end{aligned}$$

Suppose $\epsilon > 0$. Now we may choose $\delta = \inf\{1, \frac{4}{3}\epsilon\}$, so that for $0 < |x| < \delta$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 0 < |x| < 1 &\implies 0 < x^2 < 1 \\
 &\implies 2 < x^2 + 2 < 3 \\
 &\implies 4 < 2(x^2 + 2) < 6 \\
 &\implies \frac{1}{4} > \frac{1}{2(x^2 + 2)} > \frac{1}{6}
 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 0 < |x| < 1 &\implies -1 < x < 1 \\
 &\implies 1 > -x > -1 \\
 &\implies 3 > 2 - x > 1
 \end{aligned}$$

and then by multiplying the above two results together we have

$$\frac{3}{4} > \frac{2-x}{2(x^2+2)} > \frac{1}{6} \implies \left| \frac{2-x}{2(x^2+2)} \right| < \frac{3}{4}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
 0 < |x| < \delta &\implies |x| < \frac{4}{3}\epsilon \\
 &\implies |x| \cdot \left| \frac{2-x}{2(x^2+2)} \right| < \left(\frac{4}{3}\epsilon \right) \left(\frac{3}{4} \right) \\
 &\implies |f(x) - 1/2| < \epsilon
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $\lim_{x \rightarrow 0} \frac{x+1}{x^2+2} = \frac{1}{2}$.

Q.E.D.

5. Problem. Let $g : \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ satisfy the relation $g(x + y) = g(x)g(y)$ for all $x, y \in \mathbf{R}$.

- (a) Show that if we have $g(a) = 0$ for some $a \in \mathbf{R}$, then $g(x) = 0$ for all $x \in \mathbf{R}$.
 (b) Show that if g is continuous at $x = 0$, then g is continuous at every point of \mathbf{R} .

(a) Suppose $a \in \mathbf{R}$ and $g(a) = 0$. Then for any $x \in \mathbf{R}$,

$$\begin{aligned} g(x) &= g(a + -a + x) \\ &= g(a)g(-a + x) \\ &= 0g(-a + x) \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore if $g(a) = 0$ for some $a \in \mathbf{R}$, then $g(x) = 0$ for all $x \in \mathbf{R}$. **Q.E.D.**

(b) Suppose g is continuous at $x = 0$, and suppose $c \in \mathbf{R}$. We need to show that g is continuous at c and hence, since c is arbitrary in \mathbf{R} , g is continuous at every point of \mathbf{R} . To do this, we will show that for any $\epsilon > 0$, $\exists \delta > 0$ such that

$$y \in \mathbf{R}, |y - c| < \delta \implies |g(y) - g(c)| < \epsilon$$

Since g is continuous at $x = 0$, we have that for any $\epsilon_0 > 0$, $\exists \delta_0 > 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} |x| < \delta_0 &\implies |g(x) - g(0)| < \epsilon_0 \\ &\implies |g(x + c + -c) - g(c + -c)| < \epsilon_0 \\ &\implies |g(x + c)g(-c) - g(c)g(-c)| < \epsilon_0 \\ &\implies |g(-c)| \cdot |g(x + c) - g(c)| < \epsilon_0 \end{aligned}$$

Then since we must have either $|g(-c)| = 0$ or $|g(-c)| > 0$, consequently one of the following cases applies:

- (i) If $|g(-c)| = 0$ then by part (a) we have also $g(y) = 0$ and $g(c) = 0$ so that $|g(y) - g(c)| = 0 < \epsilon$ no matter what δ we choose.
 (ii) Otherwise, if $|g(-c)| > 0$ then for any $\epsilon > 0$ we may let $\epsilon_0 = \epsilon|g(-c)|$ and $y = x + c$ so that $\delta = \delta_0 > 0$ exists such that

$$\begin{aligned} |y - c| < \delta &\implies |x| < \delta \\ &\implies |g(-c)| \cdot |g(x + c) - g(c)| < \epsilon|g(-c)| \\ &\implies |g(y) - g(c)| < \epsilon \end{aligned}$$

Hence, in either case, for any $\epsilon > 0$, $\exists \delta$ such that $|y - c| < \delta \implies |g(y) - g(c)| < \epsilon$. Therefore g is continuous at c and hence, since c is arbitrary in \mathbf{R} , g is continuous at every point of \mathbf{R} . **Q.E.D.**